

**Virginia Polytechnic Institute  
And State University**

**The Charles E. Via, Jr.  
Department of Civil Engineering**

**CENTER FOR  
GEOTECHNICAL PRACTICE AND RESEARCH**

**USE AND MEASUREMENT OF  
FULLY SOFTENED SHEAR STRENGTH**

By

**Bernardo A. Castellanos**

and

**Thomas L. Brandon**

**Report of a study performed by the Virginia Tech Center for  
Geotechnical Practice and Research**

**November, 2014  
CGPR # 80**



Center for  
Geotechnical Practice and Research  
200 Patton Hall  
Blacksburg, VA 24061



# Table of Contents

Chapter 1 Introduction .....	1
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Motivation.....	2
1.3 Research Objectives.....	3
1.4 Research Outline .....	5
Chapter 2 History of Fully Softened Shear Strength .....	7
2.1 Introduction .....	7
2.2 Early Research .....	7
2.3 Research by A. W. Skempton and Colleagues.....	14
2.4 Research by S. Wright and Colleagues.....	27
2.5 Research by T. Stark and G. Mesri .....	31
2.6 Research Performed in Canada.....	41
2.7 Research Performed in Italy.....	45
2.8 Others.....	47
2.8.1 Finite Element Modeling .....	47
2.8.2 Additional Lab Studies.....	51
2.8.3 Centrifuge Modeling.....	57
2.8.4 Field Experiments .....	59
2.8.5 Assorted Additional Research .....	60
Chapter 3 Misconceptions about Fully Softened Shear Strength.....	64
Chapter 4 Use of Fully Softened Shear Strength .....	74
4.1 Introduction .....	74
4.2 Mechanisms Causing a Decrease in Shear Strength <i>In Situ</i> .....	74
4.2.1 Fissures .....	74
4.2.2 Weathering.....	76
4.2.3 Progressive failure.....	78
4.2.4 Creep .....	79
4.3 Soils Subjected to Softening.....	80
4.4 Depth of Softening .....	84
4.5 Effect of Climatic Conditions on the Development of Fully Softened Shear Strength .....	87
4.6 Time to Failure .....	89

4.7 Geotechnical Projects Affected by a Decrease in Shear Strength with Time .....	91
4.8 Pore Water Pressures .....	92
4.9 Factor of Safety .....	93
4.10 Curvature of the Fully Softened Shear Strength Envelope .....	96
4.11 Conclusions .....	98
Chapter 5 Measuring Fully Softened Shear Strength.....	102
5.1 Introduction .....	102
5.2 Soils .....	102
5.3 Sample Preparation .....	103
5.4 Shear Testing Equipment .....	104
5.4.1 Direct shear device .....	107
5.4.2 Ring shear device.....	111
5.4.3 Triaxial device .....	112
5.5 Direct Shear Test Specimen Fabrication and Testing Procedure.....	116
5.6 Ring Shear Test Specimen Fabrication and Testing Procedure.....	121
5.7 Triaxial Test Specimen Fabrication and Testing Procedure .....	124
5.8 Comparison of Features of the Triaxial, Direct Shear, and Ring Shear Devices.....	130
5.8.1 Duration of the test .....	130
5.8.2 Available shearing speeds .....	131
5.8.3 Sample size .....	133
5.8.4 Location and orientation of the failure plane .....	134
5.8.5 Stress concentration and progressive failure.....	134
5.8.6 Soil extrusion .....	135
5.8.7 Tilt of the top platen.....	135
5.9 Conclusions .....	136
Chapter 6 Test Results .....	137
6.1 Introduction .....	137
6.2 Processing the Results .....	138
6.2.1 Direct shear device .....	138
6.2.2 Ring shear device.....	141
6.2.3 Triaxial device .....	141
6.2.4 Obtaining the parameters for the power function .....	143
6.3 Ring Shear and Direct Shear Devices Comparison .....	144
6.4 Direct Shear and Triaxial Devices Comparison .....	153
6.5 Effect of Blenderizing .....	154

6.6 Effect of Molding Water Content.....	163
6.7 Packed Specimens for Fully Softened Shear Strength Measurements Using the Triaxial Device. .	170
6.8 Triaxial Tests on Compacted Samples Allowed to Swell Prior to Shearing.....	176
6.9 Water Content in the Vicinity of the Failure Plane .....	178
6.10 Correlation to Represent the Curvature of the Failure Envelope.....	179
6.11 Conclusions .....	186
Chapter 7 Summary of Conclusions and Recommendations for Future Research.....	189
7.1 Introduction .....	189
7.2 Summary of Work Accomplished.....	189
7.3 Conclusions .....	190
7.3.1 Clarifications on various opinions about fully softened shear strength .....	190
7.3.2 Use of fully softened shear strength .....	191
7.3.3 Measurement of fully softened shear strength .....	194
7.4 Recommendations for Future Research .....	195
Chapter 8 References.....	197
Appendix A Standard Test Method for Direct Shear Test to Determine Drained Fully Softened Shear Strength of Cohesive Soils.....	211
A.1. Introduction .....	211
A.2. Scope.....	211
A.3. Referenced Documents .....	212
A.4. Terminology.....	213
A.5. Summary of Test Method .....	214
A.6. Significance and Use .....	214
A.7. Apparatus.....	215
A.8. Test Specimen Preparation.....	217
A.9. Calibration.....	219
A.10. Procedures .....	219
A.11. Calculation .....	223
A.12. Report: Test Data Sheet(s)/Form(s).....	224
A.13. Precision and Bias .....	225
A.14. Keywords .....	226

Appendix B Direct Shear Manual for Fully Softened Shear Strength Using a Geotac Load Frame and DigiShear Software.....	227
B.1. Introduction .....	230
B.2. Equipment.....	230
B.3. Sample Preparation .....	231
B.4. Test Assembly .....	233
B.4.1 Zeroing the Vertical DCDT .....	233
B.4.2 Create the Loading Schedule.....	234
B.4.3 Measuring Machine Deflection .....	235
B.4.4 Shear Box Assembly and Test Specimen Formation .....	235
B.4.5 Equipment Setup.....	238
B.4.6 Software Setup .....	239
B.5. Running the Test .....	240
B.5.1 Consolidation .....	241
B.5.2 Shear .....	242
B.6. Disassembly .....	243
 Appendix C Direct Shear Test Results .....	 251
C.1. Alabama 1 .....	254
C.1.1 Non-blenderized.....	254
C.2. Alabama 2 .....	265
C.2.1 Non-blenderized.....	265
C.3. Alabama 3 .....	276
C.3.1 Non-blenderized.....	276
C.4. Alabama 4 .....	287
C.4.1 Non-blenderized.....	287
C.5. Colorado Clay .....	298
C.5.1 Blenderized.....	298
C.5.2 Non-blenderized.....	310
C.6. NOVA.....	322
C.6.1 Blenderized.....	322
C.6.2 Non-blenderized.....	347
C.6.3 Liquidity Index = 1.45 .....	366
C.6.4 Liquidity Index = 0.68 .....	378
C.7. Oahe.....	390

C.7.1 Blenderized.....	390
C.8. Oak Harbor.....	402
C.8.1 Non-blenderized.....	402
C.9. Texas 1 .....	413
C.9.1 Blenderized.....	413
C.10. Texas 2 .....	422
C.10.1 Blenderized.....	422
C.11. Texas 3 .....	428
C.11.1 Blenderized.....	428
C.12. Texas 4 .....	436
C.12.1 Blenderized.....	436
C.13. Texas 5 .....	445
C.13.1 Blenderized.....	445
C.14. Texas 6 .....	457
C.14.1 Blenderized.....	457
C.15. VBC.....	469
C.15.1 Blenderized.....	469
C.15.2 Non-blenderized.....	497
C.15.3 Liquidity Index = 1.59 .....	509
C.15.4 Liquidity Index = 0.77 .....	521
Appendix D Ring Shear Test Results .....	532
D.1. Alabama 1.....	534
D.1.1 Non-blenderized .....	534
D.2. Alabama 2.....	542
D.2.1 Non-blenderized .....	542
D.3. Alabama 3.....	550
D.3.1 Non-blenderized .....	550
D.4. Alabama 4.....	558
D.4.1 Non-blenderized .....	558
D.5. Colorado Clay.....	566
D.5.1 Blenderized .....	566
D.6. NOVA Clay.....	575
D.6.1 Blenderized .....	575
D.7. Oahe Dam .....	587
D.7.1 Blenderized .....	587

D.8. Texas 1 .....	599
D.8.1 Blenderized .....	599
D.9. Texas 2 .....	610
D.9.1 Blenderized .....	610
D.10. Texas 3 .....	622
D.10.1 Blenderized .....	622
D.11. Texas 4 .....	632
D.11.1 Blenderized .....	632
D.12. Texas 5 .....	642
D.12.1 Blenderized .....	642
D.13. Texas 6 .....	654
D.13.1 Blenderized .....	654
D.14. VBC .....	666
D.14.1 Blenderized .....	666
Appendix E Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Results .....	678
E.1. Alabama 1 .....	680
E.1.1 Non-blenderized .....	680
E.2. Colorado Clay .....	689
E.2.1 Non-blenderized .....	689
E.3. NOVA Clay .....	697
E.3.1 Non-blenderized .....	697
E.3.2 Packed .....	707
E.4. Oak Harbor .....	720
E.4.1 Non-blenderized .....	720
E.4.2 Packed .....	729
E.5. VBC .....	735
E.5.1 Non-blenderized .....	735
Appendix F Direct Shear Fully Softened Shear Strength Measurements Performed by ERDC.....	744
Appendix G Triaxial Tests Allowed to Swell .....	765
G.1. Oak Harbor .....	767
G.1.1 ICU Triaxials.....	767
G.1.2 ACU Triaxials .....	788

G.2. VBC ..... 797  
G.2.1 ICU Triaxials..... 797